

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,585	09/15/2006	Dennis Hill	7744P003	2540
8791 BLAKELV SO	7590 01/17/2008 OKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZA	EXAMINER		
1279 OAKME.	AD PARKWAY	OREILLY, PATRICK F		
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H .		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/567,585	HILL, DENNIS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patrick F. O'Reilly III	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a. cause the application to become ABAND	TON. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 F	ebruary 2006.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under I			
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2006</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	e: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objection of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. Ition is required if the drawing (s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appli prity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumr		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15/2006.		ail Date	

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on September 15, 2006 is acknowledged. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.97 and 37 CFR § 1.98 and, therefore, the references therein have been considered.

Drawings

- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "temperature sensor" recited in claim 2, the "fan" recited in claims 3 and 11, the "heater" recited in claim 5, and the "housing vent and closure provided in an external wall of the housing" recited in claim 8 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
- 4. The drawings also are objected to because reference character "1" is specifically discussed in the specification in relation to Figure 1, but this reference character has not been included in this figure.
- 5. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing

Art Unit: 3749

should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because (a) in line 3, the word "an" should be inserted between the word "between" and the word "open", and (b) in line 4, the word "a" should be inserted between the word "and" and the word "closed". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 7. The specification is objected to as failing to provide a proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the specification does not provide a proper antecedent basis for a "housing vent and closure provided in an external wall of the housing" as recited in claim 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it

Art Unit: 3749

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the 9. enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Specifically, in lines 2-3, claim 8 recites the following limitation: "the housing vent and closure provided in an external wall of the housing". However, as best shown in Figs 1-2 of this application, the "housing vent and closure" are located in an internally disposed housing wall, not "an external wall of the housing" as recited. Moreover, the placement of the "housing vent and closure" in an external housing wall is not enabled by the disclosure because, if these components were relocated to an external wall, the air circulation and ventilation unit would be unable to function in the manner disclosed. For example, assuming that housing vent and closure are moved to the external wall portion where airflow 14 is depicted in Fig. 1, there would still be airflow between the inside and outside of the housing by virtue of path 13 even when the housing vent closure member is in its closed position. Conversely, assuming that housing vent and closure are moved to the external wall portion where airflow 13 is depicted in Fig. 1, there would still be airflow between the inside and outside of the housing by virtue of path 14 even when the housing vent closure member is in its closed position.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/567,585

Art Unit: 3749

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 11. Claims 1-2 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dufresne et al. (US 4,495,545). The specification and the drawings in the Dufresne et al. reference disclose all of the elements recited in claims 1-2 and 7-12 of this application.
- Specifically, in regard to claim 1, which is directed to an air circulation and ventilation 12. unit, the Dufresne et al. reference discloses all of the claimed elements, including: a housing (37) configured to fit at least partially over the ceiling (ceiling member 15) of an equipment cabinet (enclosure 10) including fitting over at least one vent (apertures 17 at the base of trough 16) in the ceiling (15) of the cabinet (10), so that the interior of the housing (37) is in communication (Fig. 2) with the interior of the cabinet (10), the housing (37) having a housing vent (31) comprising a closure (baffle 21) moveable between an open position (as shown in Fig. 2) in which air (denoted by arrows 32) is permitted to flow through the housing vent (31) between the outside of the housing (37) and the inside of the housing (37) and a closed position (as shown in Fig. 1) in which little or no air (denoted by arrows 26) is permitted to flow through the housing vent (31) between the outside of the housing (37) and the inside of the housing (37), and a controller (cylinder 41, moveable rod 42) to control the position of the housing vent closure (21). Refer to Dufresne et al., Figures 1-5; column 2, lines 11-68; and column 3, lines 1-45. Therefore, because all of the elements in claim 1 of this application are disclosed by the Dufresne et al. reference, this claim is rejected in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 102(b).
- 13. In regard to claim 2, Dufresne et al. further discloses a temperature sensor (heat responsive material inside cylinder 41) attached to the controller (cylinder 41, moveable rod 42) that provides an indication of the temperature inside the cabinet (the heat responsive material

Art Unit: 3749

expands in response to a temperature increase in the cabinet 10 and contracts in response to a decrease in temperature). See Dufresne et al., Figure 4 and column 3, lines 16-38. Thus, Dufresne et al. meets the language of this claim.

- 14. In regard to claim 7, Dufresne et al. further discloses that the housing vent closure (baffle 21) rotates (about pivot axis 22) between the open (Fig. 2) and closed (Fig. 1) positions. Refer to Dufresne et al., Figures 1-2 and column 2, lines 34-39. Consequently, the Dufresne et al. reference also meets the language set forth in claim 7.
- 15. In regard to claim 8, Dufresne et al. further discloses that the housing vent (31) and closure (21) are provided in an external wall of the housing (the pivotal axis 22 of baffle 21 is disposed in opposed external side walls of the housing 37). See Dufresne et al., Figures 1-2 and 4. Therefore, Dufresne et al. also meets the language set forth in this claim.
- 16. In regard to claim 9, Dufresne et al. further discloses an equipment cabinet (enclosure 10) having a ceiling (ceiling member 15) provided in combination with the air circulation and ventilation unit as described with respect to claim 1 above, with the housing (37) fitting over at least one vent (apertures 17 at the base of trough 16) in the ceiling (15) of the cabinet (10), so that the interior of the housing (37) is in communication (Fig. 2) with the interior of the cabinet (10). Refer to Dufresne et al., Figures 2 and 4. Thus, Dufresne et al. meets the language set forth in claim 9.
- 17. In regard to claim 10, Dufresne et al. further discloses a roof (top, horizontal surface of housing 37) over the circulation and ventilation unit as described with respect to claim 1 above. See Dufresne et al., Figures 1-4. Consequently, the Dufresne et al. reference also meets the language set forth in this claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,585 Page 7

Art Unit: 3749

18. In regard to claim 11, Dufresne et al. further discloses a fan (electric fans 19) inside the cabinet (enclosure 10) to circulate air (as shown by arrows 26, 32 in Figs. 1 and 2). Refer to Dufresne et al., Figures 1-2 and column 2, lines 30-33. Therefore, Dufresne et al. also meets the language set forth in claim 11.

19. In regard to claim 12, Dufresne et al. further discloses that the housing vent (31) is horizontally offset from the cabinet vent (apertures 17). See Dufresne et al., Figures 1-2. Thus, Dufresne et al. meets the language of this claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 20. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 21. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dufresne et al. (US 4,495,545). The specification and the drawings in the Dufresne et al. reference disclose all of the elements recited in claims 3-4 of this application, except for (claim 3) the placement of the fan inside the housing, which constitutes an obvious matter of design choice.
- 22. In particular, claims 3-4 of this application are obvious in light of Dufresne et al. This reference discloses all the elements of claim 1, the claim upon which these two claims depend. Moreover, with respect to claims 3-4, Dufresne et al. further discloses (claim 3) a fan (electric fans 19) to circulate air (as shown by arrows 26, 32 in Figs. 1 and 2) within the cabinet (enclosure 10), wherein (claim 4) the fan (19) is controlled by the controller (the fans 19 are

Art Unit: 3749

switched on when controller 41, 42 pivots the baffle 21 to the position depicted in Fig. 2). Refer to Dufresne et al., Figures 1-2; column 2, lines 30-33; and column 3, lines 1-2. Dufresne et al. does not disclose expressly that the fan (19) is located inside the housing. Although, at the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to either locate the fan (19) inside the cabinet (10) as depicted in Figures 1 and 2 of Dufresne et al., or alternatively, to locate the fan (19) inside the housing as recited by claim 3 of this application, because the applicant has not disclosed that providing the fan inside the housing provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected the applicant's invention to perform equally well with the fan (19) located inside the cabinet (10) as depicted in Figures 1 and 2 of Dufresne et al. because this placement of the fan also enables air to be circulated within the housing and the cabinet so that the electronic components disposed within the cabinet may be effectively cooled.

- 23. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dufresne et al. (US 4,495,545) in view of Jones (US 6,127,663). These two references, when considered together, teach all of the elements recited in claim 5 of this application.
- 24. In particular, claim 5 of this application is obvious when Dufresne et al. is viewed in light of Jones. As described above, Dufresne et al. discloses all the elements of base claim 1, the claim upon which this claim depends. However, claim 5 of this application further discloses a heater inside the housing that is controlled by the controller. Dufresne et al. does not contain this additional element. Jones, although, teaches an outdoor electronic equipment cabinet (10) having a heater (heating element 62) disposed inside the cabinet housing (20), which is

Art Unit: 3749

controlled by a controller (thermal controller 100), for the purpose of preheating the cooling air entering from the outside when it is too cold so that the air entering the interior of the cabinet is above the minimum cooling air temperature specified for the electronic equipment. Refer to Jones, Figure 2; column 3, lines 66-67; column 4, lines 1-12; and column 6, lines 32-38.

Therefore, when Dufresne et al. is viewed in light of Jones, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the electronic equipment cabinet and ventilation unit of Dufresne et al. by adding a heater inside the housing that is controlled by the controller, as taught by Jones, in order to preheat the cooling air entering from the outside when it is too cold so that the air entering the interior of the housing is above the minimum cooling air temperature specified for the electronic equipment. See Jones, column 3, lines 66-67 and column 4, lines 1-3.

- 25. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dufresne et al. (US 4,495,545) in view of Lattimore et al. (US 6,342,004). These two references, when considered together, teach all of the elements recited in claim 6 of this application.
- 26. In particular, claim 6 of this application is obvious when Dufresne et al. is viewed in light of Lattimore et al. As described above, Dufresne et al. discloses all the elements of base claim 1, the claim upon which this claim depends. However, claim 6 of this application further discloses that the controller comprises a solenoid that controls the position of the housing vent closure. Dufresne et al. does not contain this additional limitation. Lattimore et al., although, teaches a rack mounted chassis system for electronic components having a solenoid actuator coupled to thermistor-type temperature sensor that controls the position of a housing vent closure (shutters 20A, 20B) based upon a predetermined temperature setpoint for the purpose of accurately

Art Unit: 3749

controlling the position of the vent closure (20A, 20B) by employing an active actuation mechanism and reliable temperature sensing device. Refer to Lattimore et al., column 3, lines 38-44. Therefore, when Dufresne et al. is viewed in light of Lattimore et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the electronic equipment cabinet and ventilation unit of Dufresne et al. by replacing the passive-type actuator assembly (41, 42) with a solenoid actuator and thermistor that actively controls the position of the housing vent closure based upon a predetermined temperature setpoint, as taught by Lattimore et al., in order to more accurately control the position of the vent closure by employing an active actuation mechanism and a more reliable temperature sensing device.

Conclusion

27. See attached form PTO-892 for additional pertinent prior art, which was not directly relied upon in this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick F. O'Reilly III whose telephone number is (571) 272-3424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven B. McAllister can be reached on (571) 272-6785. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/567,585

Art Unit: 3749

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PFo3 pfo3

STEVEN B. MCALLISTER
PLIPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER